Phoenix, AZ 85060

1	BENDAU & BENDAU PLLC Clifford P. Bendau, II (AZ Bar No. 030204)					
2	Christopher J. Bendau (AZ Bar No. 032981) P.O. Box 97066					
3	Phoenix, Arizona 85060 Telephone: (480) 382-5176					
4	Fax: (480) 304-3805 Email: cliffordbendau@bendaulaw.com					
5	Attorneys for Plaintiff					
6						
7	UNITED STATES	DISTRICT COURT				
8	DISTRICT O	F ARIZONA				
9	Nicholas Grunden,	No				
10	Plaintiff,					

COMPLAINT

Precision Air & Plumbing, Inc., an Arizona Corporation, and Erik J. Bryan and Gina M. Bryan, a Married Couple,

Defendant.

Plaintiff, Nicholas Grunden ("Plaintiff"), sues the Defendants, Precision Air & Plumbing, Inc., and Erik J. Bryan and Gina M. Bryan (collectively, "Defendants") and alleges as follows:

PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

1. This is an action for unpaid wages, liquidated damages, attorneys' fees, costs, and interest under the Fair Labor Standards Act ("FLSA"), 29 U.S.C. § 201, et seq.; the Arizona Minimum Wage Act ("AMWA"), Arizona Revised Statutes ("A.R.S."); and the Arizona Wage Act ("AWA"), A.R.S. Title 23, Chapter 8.

2. The FLSA was enacted "to protect all covered workers from substandard
wages and oppressive working hours." <u>Barrentine v. Ark Best Freight Sys. Inc.</u> , 450 U.S
728, 739 (1981). Under the FLSA, employers must pay all non-exempt employees a
minimum wage of pay for all time spent working during their regular 40-hour
workweeks. See 29 U.S.C. § 206(a). Under the FLSA, employers must pay all non-
exempt employees one and one-half their regular rate of pay for all hours worked in
excess of 40 hours in a workweek. See 29 U.S.C § 207.

- 3. The AMWA, A.R.S § 23-363, et seq., establishes a minimum wage within the State of Arizona.
- 4. The AWA, A.R.S § 23-350, et seq., establishes the law regarding the payment of wages within the State of Arizona.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 5. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331 and 29 U.S.C. § 201, *et seq.* because this civil action arises under the Constitution and law of the United States. This Court also has subject matter jurisdiction pursuant 28 U.S.C. § 1367 because the state law claims asserted herein are so related to claims in this action over which this Court has subject matter jurisdiction that they form part of the same case or controversy under Article III of the United States Constitution.
- 6. Venue is proper in this district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(ii) because acts giving rise to the claims of Plaintiff occurred within the District of Arizona, and Defendants regularly conduct business in and have engaged in the wrongful conduct alleged herein and, thus, are subject to personal jurisdiction in this judicial district.

PARTIES

- 7. At all material times, Plaintiff is an individual residing in Maricopa County, Arizona, and is a former employee of Defendants.
- 8. At all material times, Defendant Precision Air & Plumbing, Inc. was a corporation duly licensed to transact business in the State of Arizona. At all material times, Defendant Precision Air & Plumbing, Inc. does business, has offices, and/or maintains agents for the transaction of its customary business in Maricopa County, Arizona.
- 9. Defendant Precision Air & Plumbing, Inc. is an Arizona corporation, authorized to do business in the State of Arizona and is at all relevant times Plaintiff's employer as defined by 29 U.S.C. § 203(d).
- 10. Under the FLSA, Precision Air & Plumbing, Inc. is an employer. The FLSA defines "employer" as any person who acts directly or indirectly in the interest of an employer in relation to an employee. At all relevant times, Precision Air & Plumbing, Inc. had the authority to hire and fire employees, supervised and controlled work schedules or the conditions of employment, determined the rate and method of payment, and maintained employment records in connection with Plaintiff's employment with Defendants. As a person who acted in the interest of Defendants in relation to the company's employees, Precision Air & Plumbing, Inc. is subject to liability under the FLSA.
- 11. Defendant Erik J. Bryan and Gina M. Bryan are, upon information and belief, husband and wife. They have caused events to take place giving rise to the claims

2

3

4

5

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

in this Complaint as to which their marital community is fully liable. Erik J. Bryan and
Gina M. Bryan are owners of Precision Air & Plumbing, Inc., and were at all relevant
times Plaintiff's employer as defined by the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 203(d).

- 12. Under the FLSA, Defendants Erik J. Bryan and Gina M. Bryan are employers. The FLSA defines "employer" as any individual who acts directly or indirectly in the interest of an employer in relation to an employee. Erik J. Bryan and Gina M. Bryan are the owners of Precision Air & Plumbing, Inc. At all relevant times, they had the authority to hire and fire employees, supervised and controlled work schedules or the conditions of employment, determined the rate and method of payment, and maintained employment records in connection with Plaintiff's employment with Defendants. As persons who acted in the interest of Defendants in relation to the company's employees, Erik J. Bryan and Gina M. Bryan are subject to individual liability under the FLSA.
- 13. Plaintiff is further informed, believes, and therefore alleges that each of the Defendants herein gave consent to, ratified, and authorized the acts of all other Defendants, as alleged herein.
- 14. Defendants, and each of them, are sued in both their individual and corporate capacities.
- Defendants are jointly and severally liable for the injuries and damages 15. sustained by Plaintiff.

16.	At all relevant times, Plaintiff was an "employee" of Precision Air &
Plumbing,	Inc. and Erik J. Bryan and Gina M. Bryan as defined by the FLSA, 29 U.S.C
§ 201, et s	eq.
17.	The provisions set forth in the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 201, et seq., apply to
Defendant	s Precision Air & Plumbing, Inc. and Erik J. Bryan and Gina M. Bryan.

- 18. At all relevant times, Defendants Precision Air & Plumbing, Inc. and Erik J. Bryan and Gina M. Bryan, were and continue to be "employers" as defined by the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 201, et seq.
- 19. The provisions set forth in the A.R.S. Title 23, Articles 7 and 8 apply to Defendants Precision Air & Plumbing, Inc. and Erik J. Bryan and Gina M. Bryan.
- 20. At all relevant times, Plaintiff was an "employee" of Defendants Precision Air & Plumbing, Inc. and Erik J. Bryan and Gina M. Bryan as defined by the Arizona A.R.S. § 23-350, et seq.
- 21. At all relevant times, Defendants Precision Air & Plumbing, Inc. and Erik

 J. Bryan and Gina M. Bryan were and continue to be "employers" as defined by A.R.S. §

 23-350.
- 22. At all relevant times, Plaintiff was an "employee" of Defendants Precision Air & Plumbing, Inc. and Erik J. Bryan and Gina M. Bryan as defined by A.R.S. § 23-362.
- 23. At all relevant times, Defendants Precision Air & Plumbing, Inc. and Erik J. Bryan and Gina M. Bryan were and continue to be "employers" as defined by A.R.S. § 23-362.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

commerce.

1	24. D	efendants Precision Air & Plumbing, Inc. and Erik J. Bryan and Gina M.
2	Bryan individua	ally and/or through an enterprise or agent, directed and exercised control
3	over Plaintiff's	work and wages at all relevant times.
4	25. P	laintiff, in his work for Defendants Precision Air & Plumbing, Inc. and
5	 Erik I Bryan at	nd Gina M. Bryan, was employed by an enterprise engaged in commerce
6		
7	that had annual	gross sales of at least \$500,000.
8	26. A	t all relevant times, Plaintiff, in his work for Defendants Precision Air &
9	 Plumbing, Inc.	and Erik J. Bryan and Gina M. Bryan, was engaged in commerce or the
10	1	1.0
11	production of g	oods for commerce.
12	27. A	t all relevant times, Plaintiff, in his work for Defendants Precision Air &
13	 Plumbing, Inc.	and Erik J. Bryan and Gina M. Bryan, was engaged in interstate

28. Plaintiff, in his work for Defendants Precision Air & Plumbing, Inc. and Erik J. Bryan and Gina M. Bryan, regularly handled goods produced or transported in interstate commerce.

NATURE OF THE CLAIM

- Defendants owns and/or operates as Precision Air & Plumbing, an 29. enterprise located in Maricopa County, Arizona.
- 30. Plaintiff was hired by Defendants as a home performance technician and worked for Defendants between approximately June 2019 and October 2019, when Defendants terminated Plaintiff's employment with Defendants.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

	31.	Defendants, in their sole discretion, agreed to pay Plaintiff \$13.50 per hour
for all	hours	he worked. Eventually, Plaintiff was promoted to lead home performance
techn	ician, a	nd his hourly rate was increased to \$15.

- 32. During the time that Plaintiff worked for Defendants, Plaintiff worked approximately 40-60 hours per week.
- 33. Despite having worked approximately 40-60 hours per week for Defendants, Defendants paid Plaintiff no wages whatsoever for the entire duration of the final two weeks of his employment.
- 34. As a result of not having paid any wage whatsoever to Plaintiff for the final two weeks of his employment with Defendants, Defendants failed to pay the applicable minimum wage to Plaintiff.
- 35. As a result of Defendants' willful failure to compensate Plaintiff any wage whatsoever for such hours worked, Defendants have violated 29 U.S.C. § 206(a).
- 36. As a result of not having paid any wage whatsoever to Plaintiff for the final two weeks of his employment with Defendants, Defendants failed to pay the applicable overtime wage rate to Plaintiff for all hours he worked in excess of 40 in a given workweek.
- 37. As a result of Defendants' willful failure to compensate Plaintiff any wage whatsoever for all hours he worked in excess of 40 during each of the final two weeks of his employment, Defendants have violated 29 U.S.C. § 207(a).

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

	38.	As a result of Defendants' willful failure to compensate Plaintiff any wage
whatso	oever f	or such hours worked, Defendants have violated the AMWA, A.R.S. § 23-
363.		

- 39. As a result of Defendants' willful failure to compensate Plaintiff any wage whatsoever for such hours worked, Defendants have violated the AMA, A.R.S., § 23-351.
- 40. Defendants have and continue to violate the FLSA by not paying Plaintiff the full applicable minimum wage for all hours worked during his regular workweeks.
- 41. Defendant have and continue to violate the AMWA by not paying Plaintiff the full applicable minimum wage for all hours worked during his regular workweeks.
- 42. Defendant have and continue to violate the AWA by not paying Plaintiff any wage whatsoever for all hours worked during his regular workweeks.
 - 43. Plaintiff is a covered employee within the meaning of the FLSA.
 - 44. Plaintiff is a covered employee within the meaning of the AMWA.
 - 45. Plaintiff is a covered employee within the meaning of the AWA.
 - 46. Plaintiff was a non-exempt employee.
- 47. Defendants refused and/or failed to properly disclose to or apprise Plaintiff of his rights under the FLSA.
- 48. Defendants individually and/or through an enterprise or agent, directed and exercised control over Plaintiff's work and wages at all relevant times.
- 49. Due to Defendants' illegal wage practices, Plaintiff is entitled to recover from Defendants compensation for unpaid wages, an additional amount equal amount as

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

liquidated	damages,	interest,	and reaso	nable attor	ney's fees	and costs	of this	action ı	ınder
29 U.S.C.	§ 216(b).								

- 50. Due to Defendants' illegal wage practices, Plaintiff is entitled to recover from Defendants compensation for unpaid wages, an additional amount equal to twice the unpaid wages as liquidated damages, interest, and reasonable attorney's fees and costs of this action under A.R.S § 23-363.
- 51. Due to Defendants' illegal wage practices, Plaintiff is entitled to recover from Defendants compensation for unpaid wages, an additional amount equal to twice the unpaid wages as liquidated damages, and interest under A.R.S § 23-355.

COUNT ONE: FAIR LABOR STANDARDS ACT FAILURE TO PAY MINIMUM WAGE

- 52. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference all allegations in all preceding paragraphs.
- 53. Defendants willfully failed or refused to pay Plaintiff any wages whatsoever for any of the hours that Plaintiff worked for them during each of the final two weeks of his employment.
- 54. Defendants' practice of willfully failing or refusing to pay Plaintiff at the required minimum wage rate violates the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 206(a).
- 55. Plaintiff is therefore entitled to compensation for the full applicable minimum wage at an hourly rate, to be proven at trial, plus an additional equal amount as liquidated damages, together with interest, reasonable attorney's fees, and costs.

1	WHE	REFORE, Plaintiff, Nicholas Grunden, respectfully requests that this Court
2	grant the foll	owing relief in Plaintiff's favor, and against Defendants:
3	A.	For the Court to declare and find that the Defendant committed one of more
4		of the following acts:
5		
6	i	. Violated minimum wage provisions of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. §
7		206(a), by failing to pay proper minimum wages;
8	ii	. Willfully violated minimum wage provisions of the FLSA, 29
9		U.S.C. § 206(a) by willfully failing to pay proper minimum wages;
10	В.	For the Court to award Plaintiff's unpaid minimum wage damages, to be
11	Б.	
12		determined at trial;
13	C.	For the Court to award compensatory damages, including liquidated
14		damages pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b), to be determined at trial;
15 16	D.	For the Court to award prejudgment and post-judgment interest;
17	E.	For the Court to award Plaintiff reasonable attorneys' fees and costs of the
18		action pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b) and all other causes of action set
19		forth herein;
20	_	
21	F.	Such other relief as this Court shall deem just and proper.
22		COUNT TWO: FAIR LABOR STANDARDS ACT
23		FAILURE TO PAY OVERTIME
24	56.	Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference all allegations in all
25	preceding par	ragraphs.
26		
27		

57. Defendants willfully failed	d or refused to pay Plaintiff any wages
whatsoever for any of the hours that Pla	intiff worked for them, including for time
Plaintiff spent working in excess of 40 h	nours, in the final two weeks of his employment.
58. Defendants' practice of w	illfully failing or refusing to pay Plaintiff at the
required overtime wage rate for hours w	orked in excess of 40 during each of the final two

weeks of his employment violates the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 207(a).

59. Plaintiff is therefore entitled to compensation for the full applicable overtime wage at an hourly rate, to be proven at trial, plus an additional equal amount as

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, Nicholas Grunden, respectfully requests that this Court grant the following relief in Plaintiff's favor, and against Defendants:

liquidated damages, together with interest, reasonable attorney's fees, and costs.

- G. For the Court to declare and find that the Defendant committed one of more of the following acts:
 - i. Violated minimum wage provisions of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. §207(a), by failing to pay proper overtime wages;
 - ii. Willfully violated minimum wage provisions of the FLSA, 29U.S.C. § 207(a) by willfully failing to pay proper overtime wages;
- H. For the Court to award Plaintiff's unpaid overtime wage damages, to be determined at trial;
- I. For the Court to award compensatory damages, including liquidated damages pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b), to be determined at trial;
- J. For the Court to award prejudgment and post-judgment interest;

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

27

K.	For the Court to award Plaintiff reasonable attorneys' fees and costs of t			
	action pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b) and all other causes of action set			
	forth herein:			

L. Such other relief as this Court shall deem just and proper.

COUNT THREE: ARIZONA MINIMUM WAGE ACT FAILURE TO PAY MINIMUM WAGE

- 60. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference all allegations in all preceding paragraphs.
- 61. Defendants willfully failed or refused to pay Plaintiff any wages whatsoever for any of the hours that Plaintiff worked for them during each of the final two weeks of his employment.
- 62. Defendants' practice of willfully failing or refusing to pay Plaintiff at the required minimum wage rate violates the AMWA, A.R.S. § 23-363.
- 63. Plaintiff is therefore entitled to compensation for the full applicable minimum wage at an hourly rate, to be proven at trial, plus an additional equal amount as liquidated damages, together with interest, reasonable attorney's fees, and costs.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, Nicholas Grunden, respectfully requests that this Court grant the following relief in Plaintiff's favor, and against Defendants:

- For the Court to declare and find that the Defendants committed one of A. more of the following acts:
 - i. Violated minimum wage provisions of the AMWA, A.R.S. § 23-363, by failing to pay proper minimum wages;

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

1		ii. Willfully violated minimum wage provisions of the AMWA, A.R.S.
2		§ 23-363 by willfully failing to pay proper minimum wages;
3	В.	For the Court to award Plaintiff's unpaid minimum wage damages, to be
4		determined at trial;
5		Earth Count to arrand commence to my dominate in all directions it are
6	C.	For the Court to award compensatory damages, including liquidated
7		damages pursuant to A.R.S. § 23-364, to be determined at trial;
8	D.	For the Court to award prejudgment and post-judgment interest;
9	E.	For the Court to award Plaintiff reasonable attorneys' fees and costs of the
10		
11		action pursuant to A.R.S. § 23-364 and all other causes of action set forth
12		herein;
13	F.	Such other relief as this Court shall deem just and proper.
14		COUNT FOUR: ARIZONA WAGE ACT
15		FAILURE TO PAY WAGES OWED

64. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference all allegations in all preceding paragraphs.

- Defendants willfully failed or refused to pay Plaintiff any wages 65. whatsoever for any of the hours that Plaintiff worked for them during each of the final two weeks of his employment.
- Defendant's practice of willfully failing to pay Plaintiff wages for labor 66. performed violates the AWA, A.R.S. § 23-351.

Case 2:20-cv-00477-SMB Document 1 Filed 03/05/20 Page 14 of 14

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

27

	67.	Plaintiff is therefore entitled to compensation for the full applicable
mini	mum w	rage at an hourly rate, to be proven at trial, plus an additional equal amount as
liqui	dated d	amages, together with interest, costs, and reasonable attorney fees.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, Nicholas Grunden, individually, respectfully requests that this Court grant the following relief in Plaintiff's favor, and against Defendants:

- A. For the Court to declare and find that the Defendants violated A.R.S. Title 23, Chapter 2, by failing to pay wages owed to Plaintiff;
- B. For the Court to award compensatory damages, including treble the amount of wages owed to Plaintiffs, pursuant to A.R.S. § 23-355, to be determined at trial;
- C. For the Court to award prejudgment and post-judgment interest;
- D. For the Court to award Plaintiff reasonable attorneys' fees and costs of the action;
- E. Such other relief as this Court shall deem just and proper.

JURY TRIAL DEMAND

Plaintiff hereby demands a trial by jury on all issues so triable.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 5th Day of March, 2020.

BENDAU & BENDAU PLLC

By: <u>/s/ Clifford P. Bendau, II</u>
Clifford P. Bendau, II
Christopher J. Bendau
Attorney for Plaintiff